

Spatially Heterogeneous Dynamics and Dynamic Facilitation in a Model of Viscous Silica

Michael Vogel* and Sharon C. Glotzer†

*Department of Chemical Engineering and Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Michigan,
2300 Hayward, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA*

(Received 11 February 2004; published 24 June 2004)

We perform molecular dynamics simulations to study the structural relaxation dynamics of a model of viscous silica, the prototype of a strong glass former. We find that the melt dynamics are spatially heterogeneous regardless of whether the bulk relaxation is non-Arrhenius or Arrhenius, and cannot be understood as a statistical bondbreaking process. Further, we show that stringlike motion is suppressed by the covalent bondings, yet high particle mobility propagates continuously, supporting the concept of dynamic facilitation emphasized in recent theoretical work.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.255901

PACS numbers: 66.30.Dn

One of the most challenging problems of condensed matter physics is still the understanding of the tremendous slowing down of molecular dynamics in supercooled liquids approaching their glass transition temperature T_g , which is, in most liquids, unaccompanied by the emergence of long-range order in the static structure. Several theories have been invoked to rationalize this behavior. The mode coupling theory [1] describes many aspects of dynamical behavior at high T , but it predicts a power-law divergence of relaxation times at a critical temperature $T_{\text{MCT}} > T_g$. The Adam-Gibbs approach [2] sees the glass transition phenomenon as resulting from an increase of cooperativity of molecular dynamics upon cooling.

Very recently, Garrahan and Chandler (GC) [3,4] introduced a microscopic model of supercooled liquids, which is based on three central ideas: (i) Particle mobility is sparse and dynamics are spatially heterogeneous at times intermediate between ballistic and diffusive motion. (ii) Particle mobility is the result of dynamic facilitation, i.e., mobile particles assist their neighbors to become mobile. (iii) Mobility propagation carries a direction, the persistence length of which is larger for fragile than for strong glass formers [5]. For fragile liquids, the existence of spatially heterogeneous dynamics (SHD) is well established by experiment [6–8] and simulation [9–18]. Further, it was observed [12,14,16,18] that groups of particles follow one another along stringlike paths. GC proposed [4] that dynamic facilitation and persistence of particle-flow direction manifest themselves in this stringlike motion. For strong glass formers, experimental studies of the liquid are rare due to the high T_g of these network-forming materials. However, it is usually argued that the structural relaxation can be understood as a simple activated bondbreaking process.

Here, we perform molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to study the relaxation dynamics of viscous silica, the prototype of a strong glass former [5]. In particular, we critically test the ideas of the GC model. For our simulations, we use the BKS (van Beest, Kramer, and van Santen) potential [19], which is commonly applied to reproduce structural and dynamical properties of viscous

silica [20–25]. We show that the relaxation dynamics in BKS silica are spatially heterogeneous, as in more fragile liquids. Further, we demonstrate quantitatively that dynamic facilitation is not only relevant in spin models [26], but also in a viscous equilibrium liquid. Finally, we show that stringlike motion is less important in BKS silica than in nonstrong liquids, suggesting a reduced persistence of particle-flow direction. To arrive at these results, we follow Horbach and Kob [23,24] and perform simulations in the microcanonical ensemble with $\rho = 2.37 \text{ g/cm}^3$ and $N = 8016$, which is sufficiently large so as to avoid finite size effects at the studied T . Further simulation details can be found in Refs. [23,24,27].

Consistent with experimental results [28], the temperature dependence of the transport coefficients in BKS silica changes from a non-Arrhenius behavior at high T to an Arrhenius behavior at low T , where the crossover occurs in the vicinity of T_{MCT} [23–25]. This result is confirmed by our analysis, see Fig. 1(a). Using the literature value $T_{\text{MCT}} = 3330 \text{ K}$ [23,24], we find that the diffusion coefficients D for both oxygen and silicon vary at high T according to a power law [1], $D \propto (T - T_{\text{MCT}})^\gamma$, whereas Arrhenius laws are observed at $T \lesssim T_{\text{MCT}}$. The activation energies $E_a = 4.7$ and $E_a = 5.0 \text{ eV}$ for oxygen and silicon, respectively, are consistent with previous results from simulations [23,24] and experiments [29,30]. From the time evolution of the mean square displacement, $r^2(\Delta t)$, in Fig. 1(b), three distinct time regimes can be distinguished at sufficiently low T [23]. Ballistic and diffusive motion at short and long times, respectively, are separated by a pronounced caging regime [1], where the particles are temporarily trapped in cages formed by their neighbors.

To demonstrate that dynamics in BKS silica are spatially heterogeneous, we show that highly mobile particles form clusters larger than those predicted from random statistics. Following previous work [11,14–17], we characterize the particle mobility in a time interval Δt by the scalar displacement and select the 5% most mobile particles for further analysis. Then, we define a cluster as a group of the most mobile particles that reside in the




FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Diffusion coefficients $D(1/T)$ for the oxygen and silicon atoms. Dashed lines: Power laws $D \propto (T - 3330\text{K})^\gamma$ with $\gamma = 2.0$ and $\gamma = 2.1$ for the oxygen and silicon atoms, respectively. Solid lines: Arrhenius laws with activation energies $E_a = 4.7\text{ eV}$ for oxygen and $E_a = 5.0\text{ eV}$ for silicon. (b) Mean square displacement, $r^2(\Delta t)$, for the silicon atoms at $T = 3230\text{ K}$. (c) Normalized mean cluster size $\bar{S}_W(\Delta t)$, mean string length $L_W(\Delta t)$, and the dynamic facilitation effect $F(\Delta t)$ [cf. Eq. (2)] for the silicon atoms at $T = 3230\text{ K}$.

first-neighbor shell of each other [14–17]. From the probability distribution $P_S(n; \Delta t)$ of finding a cluster of size n within a time interval Δt we calculate the weight-averaged mean cluster size [14–17]

$$S_W(\Delta t) = \frac{\sum_n n^2 P_S(n; \Delta t)}{\sum_n n P_S(n; \Delta t)}. \quad (1)$$

This quantity measures the average size of a cluster to which a mobile particle belongs. To eliminate the random contribution, we discuss the normalized size $\bar{S}_W(\Delta t) = S_W(\Delta t)/S_W^*$, where S_W^* is the value that results when 5% of the particles are selected irrespective of their mobility. Our conclusions are unchanged when the fraction of mobile particles is varied over a meaningful range [27].

Figure 1(c) shows $\bar{S}_W(\Delta t)$ for the silicon atoms at $T = 3230\text{ K}$. We see that $\bar{S}_W(\Delta t)$ exhibits two maxima, indicating the existence of two spatially heterogeneous dynamical processes. The secondary and primary maxima are located at the crossovers from the ballistic to the caging regime and from the caging to the diffusive regime, respectively. In Fig. 2, we show the T dependence of $\bar{S}_W(\Delta t)$ for the oxygen atoms. The behavior of the




FIG. 2 (color online). Normalized mean cluster size, $\bar{S}_W(\Delta t)$, for the oxygen atoms at various temperatures (5250, 4330, 3870, 3520, 3330, 3140, and 3030 K).

primary maximum is analogous to that for simple liquids and polymer melts [15,16]. We find that the peak time t_S and the peak height $\bar{S}_W(t_S)$ increase upon cooling. In particular, the growth of the clusters continues when D follows an Arrhenius law, cf. Fig. 1(a). For $T \approx T_{\text{MCT}}$, the normalized mean cluster sizes for oxygen and silicon are located at the lower end of the spectrum of values reported for nonstrong liquids [14–17,27]. Hence, at times when the particles escape from their cages, *BKS silica in both the strong and fragile regime exhibits SHD qualitatively comparable to that of nonstrong liquids*. The position of the secondary maximum is T independent so that both peaks merge for $T \geq 4000\text{ K}$. In studies of water [17], an analogous secondary peak was ascribed to “strong correlations in the vibrational motion of first-neighbor molecules.” For BKS silica, a Boson peak was found at the crossover from the ballistic to the caging regime [22]. We speculate that this phenomenon leads to the secondary peak and, thus, can be characterized as localized, cooperative vibrational motion.

Stringlike motion was found to be an important channel of relaxation in fragile liquids [12,14,16,18]. To study this type of motion for silica, we follow Donati *et al.* [12] and construct strings by connecting any two particles i and j of the same atomic species if

$$\min[|\vec{r}_i(t_0) - \vec{r}_j(t_0 + \Delta t)|, |\vec{r}_i(t_0 + \Delta t) - \vec{r}_j(t_0)|] < \delta$$

is valid for the particle positions at two different times. To ensure that one particle has moved and another particle has occupied its position, δ is set to $\sim 55\%$ of the respective interparticle distance. In Fig. 1(c), we include the weight-averaged mean string length $L_W(\Delta t)$, calculated for the silicon atoms at $T = 3230\text{ K}$ in analogy with Eq. (1). From the small values $L_W(\Delta t) \approx 1$, it is clear that stringlike motion is of little relevance for the relaxation of the silicon atoms. Though the strings are somewhat longer for the oxygen atoms, e.g., a maximum $L_W(t_L) = 1.5$ is observed at $T = 3230\text{ K}$, they are still much smaller

than in fragile liquids, where $L_W(t_L) > 3$ is found for $T \approx T_{MCT}$ [16,18]. Thus, we conclude that *stringlike motion in BKS silica is suppressed and speculate this is due to the presence of covalent bonds at the studied T .*

We now measure the lifetime of the dynamic heterogeneities. For this purpose, we identify the most mobile particles in back-to-back time intervals, $\Delta t_{12} = \Delta t$ and $\Delta t_{23} = \Delta t$, and calculate the probability $P(\Delta t)$ of finding a mobile particle in Δt_{12} still mobile in Δt_{23} . For both atomic species at $T = 3030$ K, we find $P(\Delta t) \approx 0.15$ for Δt in the caging regime, followed by a decrease towards the long-time limit $P(\Delta t \rightarrow \infty) = 0.05$. These small values indicate that the fluctuations of the dynamical state are rapid. For the dynamic heterogeneities in nonstrong liquids, such short lifetimes were observed both in simulation studies near T_{MCT} [9,11,14] and in experimental work near, but not too close to T_g [7,8,31].

Next, we investigate the number of times a particular particle is mobile on the time scale of the α -relaxation time τ_α . For this analysis, we divide τ_α into several time intervals Δt , identify the most mobile particles in each interval, and determine the probability distribution $P_M(\nu, \Delta t)$ of finding a particle mobile in ν not necessarily consecutive intervals. Figure 3 shows this distribution for the oxygen atoms at $T = 3030$ K. For comparison, we include the distribution $P_M^*(\nu, \Delta t)$, resulting for a random selection of 5% of the particles in the intervals Δt . For $\Delta t \geq t_S$, we find $P_M(\nu) \approx P_M^*(\nu)$ and, hence, the subsets of mobile particles in different intervals are statistically independent. Thus, high particle mobility is not limited to certain regions of the sample, but *all particles can belong to a cluster of mobile particles on the time scale of τ_α .* Some deviations between $P_M(\nu)$ and $P_M^*(\nu)$ for $\Delta t < t_S$ suggest that the relaxation of a particular region of the sample is not complete on this short time scale, consistent with the continuing growth of the clusters.




FIG. 3 (color online). Probability distributions $P_M(\nu, \Delta t)$ of finding an oxygen atom ν times mobile when dividing τ_α into time intervals Δt and identifying the mobile particles in each interval. The dashed lines are the corresponding expectations, $P_M^*(\nu, \Delta t)$, for a random selection of mobile particles in each interval. At the studied temperature $T = 3030$ K, we find $t_S \approx 10$ ps.

255901-3

Finally, we study whether mobility propagates continuously, as proposed in the GC model, or whether it develops at random positions, as suggested by Stillinger and Hodgdon [32]. To answer this question, we analyze the relative positions of particles that are mobile in back-to-back time intervals $\Delta t_{12} = \Delta t$ and $\Delta t_{23} = \Delta t$, respectively. Specifically, we calculate the probability distribution $P_F(r, \Delta t)$ of finding a smallest distance r between a particle that is mobile in Δt_{23} , but not in Δt_{12} , and any of the mobile particles in Δt_{12} . In Fig. 4, this distribution is shown for the oxygen atoms at $T = 3030$ K, where $\Delta t \approx t_S$. For further analysis, we compare these data with the distribution $P_F^*(r, \Delta t)$, which results when the particles used for analysis are randomly selected from the nonmobile oxygen atoms in Δt_{12} . We see that the probability that a neighbor of a mobile oxygen atom becomes mobile in the subsequent time interval is significantly enhanced. Similar results are observed for the silicon atoms and, hence, *mobile particles in BKS silica assist their neighbors to become mobile, demonstrating that dynamic facilitation occurs.*

The strength of this effect can be quantified via

$$F(\Delta t) = \frac{\int_0^{r_{\min}} P_F(r, \Delta t) dr}{\int_0^{r_{\min}} P_F^*(r, \Delta t) dr}, \quad (2)$$

where r_{\min} is the first minimum of the pair correlation function. This quantity measures by how much the probability that a neighbor of a mobile particle becomes mobile is enhanced, as compared to the value for a random development of particle mobility. Figure 1(c) displays




FIG. 4 (color online). Probability distribution $P_F(r, \Delta t)$ of finding a smallest distance r between an oxygen atom that becomes mobile in $\Delta t_{23} = \Delta t$ and an oxygen atom that was mobile in $\Delta t_{12} = \Delta t$ ($T = 3030$ K). $P_F^*(r, \Delta t)$ results when the “mobile” particles in Δt_{23} are randomly selected from the nonmobile particles in Δt_{12} . The distance is calculated based on the configuration at the time t_2 . The inset shows the T dependence of $F(\Delta t)$ quantifying the strength of dynamic facilitation for the oxygen atoms [cf. Eq. (2)]. The temperatures are 5250, 4330, 3870, 3520, 3330, 3140, and 3030 K.

255901-3

$F(\Delta t)$ for the silicon atoms at $T = 3230$ K. We see that the effect of dynamic facilitation is strongest at a time $t_F \approx t_S$. Further, $F(t_F) \approx 2$ indicates that *dynamic facilitation plays a significant role*. The inset of Fig. 4 shows the T dependence of $F(\Delta t)$ for the oxygen atoms. We see that the relevance of dynamic facilitation strongly increases when T is decreased, suggesting that this effect becomes very important near T_g .

We have shown that the most mobile particles in BKS silica form clusters that are biggest at the crossover from the caging regime to the diffusive regime, indicating that this strong glass former exhibits SHD. Since the most mobile particles show displacements comparable to the interparticle distance at these times [27], we conclude that the structural relaxation of BKS silica is not a result of a simple bond breaking process occurring at random positions. Further, we have found that the time and temperature dependence of the mean cluster size are similar to the behavior for simple liquids [14–16], implying that strong and fragile glass formers exhibit no qualitative differences in the nature of SHD on intermediate time scales. However, cooperative stringlike motion is less relevant in BKS silica than in non-network forming liquids [12,16,18], suggesting that this dynamical pattern is suppressed by the presence of covalent bonds. Additionally, we have shown that the dynamic heterogeneities in BKS silica are short lived and spread throughout the sample on the time scale of τ_α . In doing so, the probability that a particle becomes mobile is enhanced in the vicinity of another mobile particle. This tendency for continuous propagation of mobility demonstrates the relevance of dynamic facilitation. The effect of dynamic facilitation is strongest at times when the mean cluster size is maximum and it increases upon cooling, suggesting that this effect is of particular importance when T_g is approached.

In conclusion, we have shown for a strong glass former that (i) dynamics at intermediate time scales are spatially heterogeneous, (ii) dynamic facilitation is important, and (iii) the persistence length of particle-flow direction as measured by the relevance of stringlike motion is smaller than in fragile liquids. Hence, our findings qualitatively support the ideas of the GC model [3,4]. In future studies, the relevance of the potential energy landscape for SHD in BKS silica should be explored since such analysis has proven useful for dynamically heterogeneous fragile liquids [33].

M.V. acknowledges funding of the DFG through the Emmy Noether-Programm.

*Electronic address: mivogel@uni-muenster.de

†Electronic address: sglotzer@umich.edu

[1] W. Götze and L. Sjogren, Rep. Prog. Phys. **55**, 241 (1992).

- [2] G. Adam and J. H. Gibbs, J. Chem. Phys. **43**, 139 (1965).
 [3] J. P. Garrahan and D. Chandler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 035704 (2002).
 [4] J. P. Garrahan and D. Chandler, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **100**, 9710 (2003).
 [5] C. A. Angell, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **131**, 13 (1991).
 [6] U. Tracht, M. Wilhelm, A. Heuer, H. Feng, K. Schmidt-Rohr, and H. W. Spiess, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2727 (1998).
 [7] H. Sillescu, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **243**, 81 (1999).
 [8] M. Ediger, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **51**, 99 (2000).
 [9] A. Heuer and K. Okun, J. Chem. Phys. **106**, 6176 (1997).
 [10] D. N. Perera and P. Harrowell, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **235**, 314 (1998).
 [11] W. Kob, C. Donati, S. J. Plimpton, P. H. Poole, and S. C. Glotzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2827 (1997).
 [12] C. Donati, J. F. Douglas, W. Kob, S. J. Plimpton, P. H. Poole, and S. C. Glotzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2338 (1998).
 [13] C. Bennemann, C. Donati, J. Baschnagel, and S. C. Glotzer, Nature (London) **399**, 246 (1999).
 [14] C. Donati, S. C. Glotzer, P. H. Poole, W. Kob, and S. J. Plimpton, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 3107 (1999).
 [15] Y. Gebremichael, T. B. Schröder, F. W. Starr, and S. C. Glotzer, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 051503 (2001).
 [16] Y. Gebremichael, M. Vogel, and S. C. Glotzer, J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 4415 (2004).
 [17] N. Giovambattista, S. V. Buldyrev, F. W. Starr, and H. E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 085506 (2003).
 [18] M. Aichele, Y. Gebremichael, F. W. Starr, J. Baschnagel, and S. C. Glotzer, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 5290 (2003).
 [19] B. W. H. van Beest, G. J. Kramer, and R. A. van Santen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1955 (1990).
 [20] J.-L. Barrat, J. Badro, and P. Gillet, Mol. Simul. **20**, 17 (1997).
 [21] K. Vollmayr, W. Kob, and K. Binder, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 15 808 (1996).
 [22] J. Horbach, W. Kob, and K. Binder, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **235–237**, 320 (1998).
 [23] J. Horbach and W. Kob, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 3169 (1999).
 [24] J. Horbach and W. Kob, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 041503 (2001).
 [25] I. Saika-Voivod, P. H. Poole, and F. Sciortino, Nature (London) **412**, 514 (2001).
 [26] G. H. Fredrickson and H. C. Andersen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1244 (1984).
 [27] M. Vogel and S. C. Glotzer, cond-mat/0404733.
 [28] K.-U. Hess, D. B. Dingwell, and E. Rössler, Chem. Geol. **128**, 155 (1996).
 [29] J. C. Mikkelsen, Appl. Phys. Lett. **45**, 1187 (1984).
 [30] G. Brebec, R. Seguin, C. Sella, J. Bevenot, and J. C. Martin, Acta Metall. **28**, 327 (1980).
 [31] K. Schmidt-Rohr and H. W. Spiess, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 3020 (1991).
 [32] F. H. Stillinger and J. A. Hodgdon, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 2064 (1994).
 [33] T. B. Schröder, S. Sastry, J. C. Dyre, and S. C. Glotzer, J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 9834 (2000); M. Vogel, B. Doliwa, A. Heuer, and S. C. Glotzer, J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 4404 (2004).